

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

TERA HARRIS,

No. 3:18-cv-01902-SB

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

SCOTT P. BOUGHTON, TRAVIS W. LAW,
DEREK L. CARMAN, CITY OF PORTLAND
POLICE DEPARTMENT,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Beckerman issued a Findings and Recommendation [20] on August 23, 2019, in which she recommends that the Court dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint [19] with prejudice. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

When any party objects to any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a *de novo* determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Dawson v. Marshall*, 561 F.3d 930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc).


The Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's objections and concludes that the objections do not provide a basis to modify the recommendation. The Court has also reviewed the pertinent portions of the record *de novo* and finds no error in the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation.

CONCLUSION

The Court adopts Magistrate Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation [20]. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint [19] is dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 14 day of Oct, 2019.


MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge